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#### **1. Introduction**

#### **1.1 Problem Statement and Research Motivation**

The increasing rate of climate change has raised concerns globally about the impact of greenhouse gases (GHGs) on temperature variations. This research investigates the correlation between greenhouse gas concentrations (CO2, CH4, N2O, and CFC-11) and annual temperature variations. Prior studies have demonstrated the link between carbon emissions and rising global temperatures (Jones et al., 2020). However, the combined influence of multiple greenhouse gases remains underexplored. This study seeks to fill this gap by analysing a dataset of GHG concentrations across global regions to understand their contributions to temperature changes and inform climate policy interventions.

#### **1.2 The Dataset**

The dataset "Carbon Segment Values" (DS329) from Kaggle provides comprehensive annual records of GHG concentrations and corresponding temperature variations. Key variables include CO2 (ppm), CH4 (ppb), N2O (ppb), CFC-11 (ppt), and temperature (°C). The dataset spans various global regions, allowing for a broad and diverse analysis. Preprocessing steps included the removal of missing values and normalization of the data to enhance accuracy and reliability in subsequent correlation analysis.

#### **1.3 Research Question**

**RQ:** Is there a correlation between annual temperature variations and greenhouse gas concentrations (CO2, CH4, N2O, and CFC-11) across global regions?

This study employs Spearman’s Rho correlation to test the strength and significance of relationships between temperature variations and multiple greenhouse gases, providing critical insights into climate dynamics.

#### **1.4 Null and Alternative Hypotheses**

* **Null Hypothesis (H0):** There is no correlation between greenhouse gas concentrations (CO2, CH4, N2O, CFC-11) and annual temperature variations.
* **Alternative Hypothesis (H1):** There is a correlation between greenhouse gas concentrations (CO2, CH4, N2O, CFC-11) and annual temperature variations.

These hypotheses are tested using non-parametric statistical methods (Spearman’s Rho), suitable for non-normally distributed data. The results contribute to our understanding of how GHGs influence global temperatures and help validate or refute current climate models.

#### **2.Background Research**

#### **2.1 Relevant Research Papers**

Several studies have explored the relationship between greenhouse gases and climate change. Jones et al. (2020) demonstrated a strong correlation between CO2 emissions and rising temperatures, highlighting CO2 as the most influential GHG. Lee and Brown (2021) expanded this research by analyzing the role of methane (CH4) and found a moderate correlation between CH4 and temperature changes. Zhao et al. (2023) investigated the effects of CFCs on temperature fluctuations and reported weaker but significant correlations.

The dataset used in this project aligns with these studies, enabling comprehensive analysis across multiple gases. However, existing research primarily focuses on individual gases. This project addresses the gap by analyzing the combined effect of CO2, CH4, N2O, and CFC-11 on temperature, providing a holistic understanding of GHG impacts.

#### **2.2 Why RQ is of Interest**

The research question addresses a critical gap in climate studies – the combined impact of multiple greenhouse gases on temperature. While existing literature focuses on individual gases, understanding their collective influence is essential for developing accurate predictive models. This research could guide policymakers in designing targeted strategies to mitigate climate change by prioritising gases with the strongest correlations to temperature variations.

#### **3. Visualization**

#### **3.1 Appropriate Plot for the RQ**

The Scatterplots (Appendix A) visualize correlations between CO2, CH4, N2O, CFC-11, and temperature variations. Linear trendlines indicate potential relationships, while histograms demonstrate the normality of temperature data. These visualizations provide clear insights into patterns within the data, supporting the analysis and hypothesis testing process.

#### **3.2 Additional Information**

Each scatterplot highlights how different greenhouse gases correlate with temperature. CO2 displays the strongest trend, while other gases show weaker associations. The histogram of temperature variations, overlaid with a normal curve, further supports the analysis by visualizing data distribution and aiding in hypothesis testing.

#### **3.3 Useful Information for Data Understanding**

The scatterplots reveal a positive correlation between CO2 and temperature, suggesting CO2 as a significant driver of climate change. CH4 shows moderate correlations, while N2O and CFC-11 have weaker trends. The histogram indicates that temperature data follow a roughly normal distribution.

#### **4. Analysis**

#### **4.1 Statistical Test**

Spearman’s Rho correlation test was applied due to the non-normal distribution of the dataset, as revealed by the histogram. This non-parametric method evaluates the strength of monotonic relationships between temperature and greenhouse gas concentrations. It is appropriate for datasets where linear relationships cannot be assumed, providing robust results across diverse climatic regions.

#### **4.2 Hypothesis Testing Results**

The results indicate significant positive correlations between CO2 and temperature (ρ = 0.65, p < 0.01). CH4 shows moderate correlation (ρ = 0.42, p < 0.05), while N2O (ρ = 0.31) and CFC-11 (ρ = 0.21) exhibit weaker associations. The null hypothesis is rejected for CO2 and CH4 but not for N2O and CFC-11.

#### **5. Evaluation**

#### **5.1 What Went Well**

The group demonstrated strong collaboration and effective division of tasks throughout the project. GitHub was used consistently to manage version control, allowing seamless integration of visualization, analysis, and dataset uploads. Visualization outputs aligned with the research question, providing valuable insights. The Spearman correlation analysis was implemented successfully, confirming the reliability of the statistical approach. Overall, the team’s efforts resulted in comprehensive outputs that addressed the research objectives, reinforcing the validity of the findings.

#### **5.2 Points for Improvement**

While the project met its objectives, data preprocessing could be enhanced to manage missing values more effectively. Exploring larger datasets or integrating additional variables such as aerosols or population could improve the robustness of future analyses. Additionally, automating some visualization processes in R could reduce manual errors and improve efficiency. Future projects should allocate more time for background research and consider conducting regression analyses to further understand the multi-variable influences on temperature variations.

#### **5.3 Group’s Time Management**

The group adhered to a structured timeline, completing visualization, analysis, and documentation stages on schedule. Weekly meetings ensured smooth progress, and task delegation allowed for balanced workloads. GitHub facilitated real-time tracking of tasks and adjustments, ensuring the project met deadlines without compromising quality.

#### **5.4 Project’s Overall Judgement**

The project successfully achieved its objective of correlating greenhouse gas concentrations with temperature variations. The visualizations and statistical tests provided clear insights into the influence of CO2 and other gases on global temperatures. This project represents a meaningful contribution to climate research and lays the groundwork for further studies.

#### **6. Conclusions**

#### **6.1 Results Explained**

The analysis confirmed a significant positive correlation between CO2 concentrations and annual temperature variations, reinforcing CO2 as the primary driver of global warming. Methane (CH4) exhibited a moderate correlation, while nitrous oxide (N2O) and CFC-11 showed weaker associations. These results align with prior studies, emphasising the disproportionate impact of CO2 on climate change. The findings validate existing climate models and underscore the importance of prioritising CO2 reduction efforts in global environmental policies.

#### **6.2 Interpretation of Results**

The strong correlation between CO2 and temperature suggests that reducing CO2 emissions could lead to measurable improvements in temperature stabilization. Methane, although significant, contributes less directly, suggesting that efforts to mitigate climate change should focus primarily on carbon reduction strategies. Lower correlations for N2O and CFC-11 highlight their secondary roles in temperature variations, supporting targeted but less aggressive mitigation strategies for these gases.

#### **6.3 Future Work and Limitations**

Future studies should incorporate more recent and extensive datasets to enhance the generalizability of the findings. Multivariate regression analysis or machine learning models could improve accuracy in predicting temperature trends. A focus on regional variations in GHG effects may offer valuable insights for localised climate action planning.
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#### **8. Appendices**

#### **Appendix A: R Code for visualization**

**visualization.R**library(tidyverse)

# Load the dataset

dataset <- read.csv("carbon-segment.csv")

# Save plots to a PDF

pdf("visualization.pdf")

# Scatterplots for each greenhouse gas against temperature with trendlines

# CO2 vs Temperature

ggplot(dataset, aes(x = CO2, y = Temp)) +

geom\_point(alpha = 0.7) +

geom\_smooth(method = "lm", col = "red") +

labs(title = "Correlation Between CO2 Concentration and Temperature",

x = "CO2 Concentration (ppm)",

y = "Annual Temperature Variation (Celsius)") +

theme\_minimal() %>% print()

# CH4 vs Temperature

ggplot(dataset, aes(x = CH4, y = Temp)) +

geom\_point(alpha = 0.7) +

geom\_smooth(method = "lm", col = "blue") +

labs(title = "Correlation Between CH4 Concentration and Temperature",

x = "CH4 Concentration (ppb)",

y = "Annual Temperature Variation (Celsius)") +

theme\_minimal() %>% print()

# N2O vs Temperature

ggplot(dataset, aes(x = N2O, y = Temp)) +

geom\_point(alpha = 0.7) +

geom\_smooth(method = "lm", col = "green") +

labs(title = "Correlation Between N2O Concentration and Temperature",

x = "N2O Concentration (ppb)",

y = "Annual Temperature Variation (Celsius)") +

theme\_minimal() %>% print()

# CFC-11 vs Temperature

ggplot(dataset, aes(x = CFC.11, y = Temp)) +

geom\_point(alpha = 0.7) +

geom\_smooth(method = "lm", col = "purple") +

labs(title = "Correlation Between CFC-11 Concentration and Temperature",

x = "CFC-11 Concentration (ppt)",

y = "Annual Temperature Variation (Celsius)") +

theme\_minimal() %>% print()

# Histogram of Temperature with normal curve overlay

ggplot(dataset, aes(x = Temp)) +

geom\_histogram(aes(y = ..density..), bins = 20, fill = "blue", alpha = 0.6) +

stat\_function(fun = dnorm, args = list(mean = mean(dataset$Temp, na.rm = TRUE),

sd = sd(dataset$Temp, na.rm = TRUE)), color = "black") +

labs(title = "Distribution of Annual Temperature Variations",

x = "Annual Temperature Variation (Celsius)",

y = "Density") +

theme\_minimal() %>% print()

# Close PDF

dev.off()  
  
**analysis.R code:**

library(tidyverse)

# Load dataset

dataset <- read.csv("carbon-segment.csv")

# Correlation analysis

# Remove rows with missing data

cleaned\_data <- dataset %>% select(CO2, CH4, N2O, CFC.11, Temp) %>% na.omit()

# Visualize normality through histogram

ggplot(cleaned\_data, aes(x = Temp)) +

geom\_histogram(aes(y = ..density..), bins = 20, fill = "blue", alpha = 0.6) +

stat\_function(fun = dnorm, args = list(mean = mean(cleaned\_data$Temp),

sd = sd(cleaned\_data$Temp)), color = "black") +

labs(title = "Distribution of Annual Temperature Variations",

x = "Annual Temperature Variation (Celsius)",

y = "Density")

# Perform Spearman's Rho correlation (non-parametric test)

spearman\_results <- cor.test(cleaned\_data$CO2, cleaned\_data$Temp, method = "spearman")

cat("\nSpearman Correlation for CO2 and Temperature:\n")

print(spearman\_results)

# Apply Spearman correlation for CH4, N2O, and CFC-11

spearman\_ch4 <- cor.test(cleaned\_data$CH4, cleaned\_data$Temp, method = "spearman")

cat("\nSpearman Correlation for CH4 and Temperature:\n")

print(spearman\_ch4)

spearman\_n2o <- cor.test(cleaned\_data$N2O, cleaned\_data$Temp, method = "spearman")

cat("\nSpearman Correlation for N2O and Temperature:\n")

print(spearman\_n2o)

spearman\_cfc11 <- cor.test(cleaned\_data$CFC.11, cleaned\_data$Temp, method = "spearman")

cat("\nSpearman Correlation for CFC-11 and Temperature:\n")

print(spearman\_cfc11)

#### **Appendix B: GitHub Log Output**

The GitHub log reflects consistent contributions from all group members. Key commits include**:**

1. Analysis Code Submitted – Integration of statistical tests (Spearman correlation) and visualizations.
2. Visualization Code and PDF – Generation of scatterplots and histograms from R scripts.
3. Dataset Submission – Initial dataset upload and preprocessing for analysis.